

# VOX POP Newsletter

## *of Political Organizations and Parties*

An official section of the American Political Science Association  
Produced by the Ray C. Bliss Institute of Applied Politics, The University of Akron

### Challengers to Duopoly: Why Third Parties Matter in American Two-Party Politics

*J. David Gillespie, College of Charleston and the Citadel*

(Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, publication pending in 2011)

The scholarly literature on third parties and independent campaigns has become considerably more abundant over the last two decades than in the years preceding Ross Perot's remarkable 1992 presidential bid. Works of high quality are among those new topical offerings; but many of them have been encyclopedic or multi-volume in scope and depth, or they have been monographs focused upon particular parties, movements, or leaders. *Challengers to Duopoly* provides in one manageable volume a reasonably comprehensive glimpse at third-party and independent movements, past and present. It also features for the reader a point of view: third parties matter. They always have, and they continue to matter, despite the crippling disabilities imposed upon them.

Over the last 100 years, America's party system, for which formerly there were plausible claims that it was "natural" or organic, has been transformed into *duopoly*, an engineered and enforced two-party system. Theodore Lowi describes it as "brain-dead," a system which "would collapse in an instant if the tubes were pulled and the IV's...cut." Opinion research reveals a remarkable loss of popular support for the party system in its contemporary form. The conventional wisdom that the system of two major parties rationalizes voter decision-making and facilitates the consensus-building needed for effective democratic government has been undermined of late by toxic rhetoric, the clear evidence of zero-sum thinking, and the reality of partisan gridlock in policy-making bodies. It would be a reasonable conclusion that little is left of bipartisanship except the devotion both of Democrats and Republicans to duopoly, to keeping the ladder pulled up against all real or potential outside challengers.

Political scientists generally are aware of the barriers and impediments. Insofar as the two-party system (actually a sequence of them) prevailed in the nineteenth century, its institutional reinforcements—single-member district plurality elections, among others—guarded the gates as they do today, but their advent preceded PR and other practices known to be friendly to the evolution of multi-party systems.

Going far beyond these early "natural" two-major-parties buttresses, Republican and Democratic legislators eventually effected policies which were *intended* to promote, protect, and sustain partisan duopoly. Contemporary ballot-access requirements are so costly to surmount and bewilderingly diverse from state to state that they stop many third-party challengers right in their tracks. A new party seeking ballot access for its presidential candidate everywhere today would have to produce nearly two-thirds of a million validated petition signatures.

Anti-fusion and sore-loser policies in force in most states protect the primacy of Democrats and Republicans. The bipartisan Commission on Presidential Debates sets the bar for access to the fall

presidential debates so forbiddingly high that a minor-party nominee or independent candidate almost never gets invited to take part. Federal policy on public funding of presidential campaigns distinctly favors major parties and their nominees. The same is true in Connecticut and some of the other states which have instituted public funding of their statewide and legislative elections. Other factors compound the problems faced by duopoly's challengers and underscore that indeed "them that has, gets." The partisan gerrymander is designed to stymie even two-party competition. The winner takes all practice employed by forty-eight states typically locks out even popular third-party or independent presidential candidates from any share of electoral votes. And there is the perennial problem of media neglect. In 2008, the leading national newspapers devoted a hundred times more news stories, opinion pieces, letters and photos to the Obama and McCain campaigns than to those of the four leading minor-party and independent candidates combined. Meeting in Copenhagen in 1990, the United States, Canada, and thirty-three European nations committed themselves to a comprehensive set of democratic goals. Contemporary policies in the United States evidently clash with two of the benchmarks in the Copenhagen document. These are the obligations to "respect the rights of citizens to seek political or public office...without discrimination" and "...of individuals and groups to establish, in full freedom, their own political parties...and provide them with legal guarantees to enable them to compete on the basis of equal treatment."

#### Ways Third Parties Matter

The world tuned in to history-making events in the 2008 presidential campaign: election of the first African-American president, 18 million cracks left in the glass ceiling by the woman he

*(Continued on page 2)*

From Headquarters .....	1, 2, 3
From The Field .....	8
Scholarly Precincts .....	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8

**Chair:** Paul Herrmson, University of Maryland

**Secretary-Treasurer:**

Holly Brasher, University of Alabama at Birmingham

**VOX POP Editor:** John Green, The University of Akron

**Program Co-Chair:** Miki Kittilson, Arizona State University and Richard Herrera, Arizona State University

**Website Coordinator:** Amy Alexander, University of California, Irvine

**Executive Council:** Christopher Anderson, Cornell University; Marie Hojnacki, Penn State University; Ronald Hrebenar, University of Utah; Christina Woldbrecht, University of Notre Dame

defeated for the Democratic nomination and the first Republican woman ever nominated for the vice-presidency. Beyond media or public gaze in 2008, the Green Party nominated Cynthia McKinney and Rosa Clemente, *two African-American women*, for the nation's two highest offices. If there is a lesson this illustrates, it is this: whatever one's definition of *there* is, one minor party or another almost always gets there long before either major party arrives.

Years preceding the Civil War, Frederick Douglass and other African-American men joined whites in leading the Liberty Party. More than a half century before women's suffrage became national law, women took their seats as full voting delegates at the convention which gave birth to the Prohibition Party. Selected by the Socialist Party in 1980, David McReynolds thus became the first openly-gay presidential nominee ever.

It was a third party that first nominated a woman for the presidency (Equal Rights, 1872), selected a woman who cast an electoral vote (Bull Moose, Washington state, 1912), and selected a woman who received an electoral vote (Libertarian vice-presidential nominee, 1972).

Lenora Fulani, the 1988 New Alliance Party presidential nominee, was the nation's first woman *and* first African-American whose name appeared on the ballot of every constituency with electoral votes to cast. Third parties were first to nominate an African American for the vice-presidency (Communist, 1932), an African-American woman for the vice-presidency (Progressive, 1952), an African American for the presidency (Socialist Workers, 1964), and an African-American woman for the presidency (Communist, 1968).

Third parties also have been on the front lines of policy innovation and democratic reform. The Anti-Masonic Party was the first to hold a national convention and to draft and present a party platform. That party tendered the remarkable notion that transparency is an important value in democratic politics. The Liberty and Free Soil parties staked out positions sharply at odds with the defenders of slavery; likewise, the Republicans, who began as a third party before arriving in the ranks of the majors. Neither major party endorsed women's suffrage until 1916, a scant four years before the Nineteenth Amendment entered the Constitution. Long before that, a half-dozen parties had embraced and worked toward that goal.

Federal merit-based civil service, the direct election of U.S. Senators, initiative and referendum, the income tax, universal health care, wages and hours legislation, anti-child labor policy, public works for jobs and infrastructure development, Social Security, sustainability and green economy—all these and others appeared as planks in third-party platforms before either major party took up their cause. It was from the third-party periphery that the heinous costs and dangers of an emerging Cold War were raised, term limits pushed, and economic globalization challenged.

Minor-party representatives rarely sit in decision-making bodies in numbers large enough to put their own imprimatur on policy enactments. Interest group lobbies often have been the most powerful agents in pushing a proposal toward the mainstream. Recognizing the popularity of some of the ideas third parties advance, a major party (or both) may appropriate a proposal, incorporating it into its own policy program. Sometimes, when decades intervene between proposal and enactment, no more may be confirmed than that a minor party played a role in positioning the matter on the public agenda.

The strictures of duopoly now weaken third parties' influence as policy innovators, but these parties continue to matter for their potential to challenge electorally the two major parties. Some evidence today supports the longstanding precept that if oxygen is found for a substantial challenger to draw first breath, that would be either to the left or the right of where both major parties position themselves. Vermont Progressives thrive today as the most successful contemporary non-national third party in America. Nominated for Colorado governor by the Constitution Party in 2010, Tom Tancredo ran a strong second to the victorious Democrat. And there is the Tea Party, a new movement positioned neither entirely in nor completely outside the GOP.

But with the widening ideological space separating the major parties, the conviction has grown that a movement of the "angry middle," a third party appealing to disaffected centrists, would be the most likely to succeed. Ross Perot was one of the first to reach this conclusion.

Six campaigns were won by non-major-party gubernatorial candidates in five states between 1990 and 2010. Most of these new governors were centrists. Recent opinion pieces in leading newspapers predict a formidable new centrist party ready to take on the Republicans and Democrats in 2012. Presidential trial balloons were going up at the end of 2010 for both Michael Bloomberg and Donald Trump. Each was a presidential candidate, arguably centrist, who would be wealthy enough to skirt the discriminatory provisions of federal law by bankrolling his own 2012 campaign.

## Organization of the Book

Chapter One makes the core premises about duopoly and its impact upon American politics. It also offers poll and electoral data suggesting that some opportunities have opened for third-party and independent challengers over the last twenty-five years.

The many barriers third parties face are presented in Chapter Two. Some of these are existential: they are because they are. Others are the invidious arrangements Republicans and Democrats have made for closure and their mutual self-protection. Minor parties are certainly among the losers; so too are the voters and their democratic freedom to choose.

Chapter Three focuses upon a variety of themes: the nation's party systems and their transformation over time; third-party types; and, most significantly, why third parties matter. The chapter carries the story of the Prohibition Party, the nation's most ancient living minor party. The Constitution, Green, and Libertarian parties—the leading contemporary national third-party challengers—are featured in Chapter Four.

Chapters Five through Eight present histories of America's national short-lived parties—one of the most important third-party types. Chapter Nine covers a related theme: the "independent" movements launched by John Anderson and Ross Perot, and the later initiatives by Perot and others to institutionalize their movement.

Chapter Ten examines the involvement of women, African Americans, and Latinos in third-party movements. It also bears historical case studies of their party-building activities: the National Woman's, Black Panther, and Raza Unida parties.

Continuing doctrinal parties—the Socialists and Communists and the Neo-Nazis—are featured in Chapters Eleven and Twelve.

Chapter Thirteen glimpses state/local significant others: third parties important within the domain of their communities or states but unwilling or unable to extend beyond those territorial bounds.

The concluding Chapter Fourteen bears a reprise on the book's central premise: that despite the barriers imposed upon them, third parties matter. The chapter provides a brief retrospective of the third-party past, along with some commentary and projection about present and future.

The book also carries six appendices. Appendix One presents the name and website addresses of nearly 100 contemporary American third parties as well as access information for blogs and websites which provide pertinent information on minor parties. Appendix Two offers the popular tallies and electoral votes of all minor presidential candidates since 1832 who received at least one percent of the popular vote, and Appendix Three provides the complete 2008 election returns for all major and minor presidential candidates.

Appendix Four features by name, state, and date the victories of all successful minor-party and independent gubernatorial candidates since 1831. Appendix Five presents by party the numerical size of all third-party delegations at opening sessions of the U.S. House and Senate from 1829 on. Appendix Six lists all third-party and independent members of Congress since World War II.

## FROM HEADQUARTERS

### Letter from the President February 2011

Dear Colleagues,

As I write, the new Congress has been in session for about six weeks, and President Obama, the Republican-controlled House of Representatives, and the Democratic-controlled Senate are posturing in anticipation of a showdown over the federal budget. Republican aspirants to the presidency are raising money and jockeying for position in the 2012 GOP primaries, and President Obama is looking to chart a course to a second term. Similar budget showdowns and preparations for elections are playing out throughout the states, particularly those where significant shifts in power have occurred. Internationally, there also have been important developments, including some that have taken place outside the realm of mainstream democratic politics, such as President Hosni Mubarak's resignation in the face of widespread unrest in Egypt. Combined, these events remind us of the benefits of living in a democracy.

Current events also serve to highlight the importance of what we study and teach. They demonstrate the importance of campaigns and elections, the abilities of political parties to absorb new political movements, such as the Tea Party, and the impact of parties and interest groups on elections and policymaking. They also show what happens in political systems where democratic institutions are either lacking or not functioning well.

We have been very busy at POP Headquarters. Committees have been appointed and are working to select our new leadership and the winners of our various awards. Quin Monson and Kelly Patterson of Brigham Young University are organizing panels from the nearly 200 outstanding paper proposals submitted for this year's American Political Science Association meeting. Marjorie Randon Hershey of Indiana University has taken on the responsibility of collecting current syllabi on political parties, interest groups, and related courses for posting on our website. Please send your latest syllabi to her at [hershey@indiana.edu](mailto:hershey@indiana.edu).

This spring POP will be undertaking a self-study to learn more about how our organization can better serve its members. Headed by John Green of the University of Akron, Robin Kolodny of Temple University, and Kelly Patterson, the project features a broad-ranging satisfaction survey of current section members as well as lapsed members. We want to learn your opinions about the activities, services, and opportunities POP offers and about new directions you think POP ought to pursue. More information about the survey will arrive via email fairly soon. Your participation is important. I hope you will take the few minutes required to complete the survey.

With Best wishes,

Paul Herrnson  
University of Maryland  
[pherrnson@capc.umd.edu](mailto:pherrnson@capc.umd.edu)

### Syllabus Collections Maintained by APSA's Organized Sections

Syllabus collections are extremely useful to new faculty and grad students who are developing courses in a particular field. The APSA is asking each of the Organized Sections to create and post a collection of syllabi in the section's subfield. So please send us your syllabi for courses on political parties, comparative parties, parties and elections, organized interests, and closely related topics! Feel free to include supporting materials such as reading lists, descriptions of paper topics and other assignments, and other resources.

**Please send your syllabi to:**

Margie Hershey at: [hershey@indiana.edu](mailto:hershey@indiana.edu)

## SCHOLARLY PRECINCTS

### Nomination for Awards Organized Section on Political Organizations and Parties Awards

#### Leon D. Epstein Outstanding Book Award

This award "honors a book published in the last two calendar years that makes an outstanding contribution to research and scholarship on political organizations and parties."

Chair: Byron Shafer  
Department of Political Science  
University of Wisconsin-Madison  
Madison, WI 53706  
[bshafer@polisci.wisc.edu](mailto:bshafer@polisci.wisc.edu)

#### Jack L. Walker, Jr. Outstanding Article Award

This award "honors an article published in the last two calendar years that makes an outstanding contribution to research and scholarship on political organizations and parties."

Chair: Duane Swank  
Department of Political Science  
Marquette University  
Milwaukee, WI 53201  
[duane.swank@marquette.edu](mailto:duane.swank@marquette.edu)

#### Emerging Scholar Award

This honor is awarded to a scholar who has received his or her Ph.D. within the last five years and whose career to date demonstrates unusual promise.

Chair: Beth Leech  
Department of Political Science  
Rutgers University  
New Brunswick, NJ 08901  
[leech@polisci.rutgers.edu](mailto:leech@polisci.rutgers.edu)

#### POP Best Paper Award

This award honors the best paper presented on a POP panel at the preceding APSA annual meeting.

Chair: Dara Strolovitch  
Department of Political Science  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis, MN 55455  
[dzs@umn.edu](mailto:dzs@umn.edu)

## BOOK SCAN

- Adler-Lomnitz, Larissa, Rodrigo Salazar-Elena and Ilya Adler. 2010. *Symbolism and Ritual in a One-Party Regime: Unveiling Mexico's Political Culture*. University of Arizona Press.
- Akindele, Sunday. 2010. *Intra and Inter Party Post-Election Crisis Management: An X-Ray of the Nigerian Political Landscape*. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing.
- Archer, Robin. 2010. *Why is There No Labor Party in the United States?* Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Baerwald, Hans H. 2010. *Party Politics in Japan*. Routledge.
- Baker, David. 2010. *Models of Fascism: Ideology and Leadership in the Parties of the European Far-Right*. I B Tauris.
- Bara, Judith. 2010. *Democratic Politics and Party Competition*. New York: Routledge.
- Barker, Roger M. 2010. *Corporate Governance, Competition, and Political Parties: Explaining Corporate Governance Change in Europe*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bass, Harold Franklin. 2010. *Historical Dictionary of United States Political Parties*. Scarecrow Press.
- Baum, Dale. 2010. *The Civil War Party System: The Case of Massachusetts, 1848-1876*. Chapel Hill: The University of North Carolina Press.
- Baumer, Donald C. and Howard J. Gold. 2010. *Parties, Polarization, Democracy in the United States*. Paradigm Publishers.
- Baumer, Donald C. and Howard J. Gold. 2010. *Parties, Polarization, and Democracy in the United States*. Paradigm Publishers.

Continued on page 4

- Beckman, Bjorn, Sakhela Buhlungu, and Lloyd Sachikonye. 2010. *Trade Unions & Party Politics: Labour Movements in Africa*. Human Sciences Research Council.
- Belay, Alefe Abeje. 2010. *Federalism and Party Politics in Ethiopia: Federalism, Party System Institutionalization, and Democratic Consolidation*. VDM Verlag Dr. Müller.
- Bird, Karen, Thomas Saalfeld, and Andreas M. Wust (Eds.). 2010. *The Political Representation of Immigrants and Minorities: Voters, Parties, and Parliaments in Liberal Democracies*. Routledge.
- Boehlert, Eric. 2010. *Bloggers on the Bus: How the Internet Changed Politics and the Press*. New York: Free Press.
- Bogaards, Matthijs and Françoise Boucek. 2010. *Dominant Political Parties and Democracy: Concepts, Measures, Cases and Comparisons*. Routledge.
- Bornschieer, Simon. 2010. *Cleavage Politics and the Populist Right: The New Cultural Conflict in Western Europe*. Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.
- Bramble, Tom and Rick Kuhn. 2010. *Labor's Conflict: Big Business, Workers and the Politics of Class*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Callahan, David. 2010. *Fortunes of Change: The Rise of the Liberal Rich and the Remaking of America*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Carnegie, Paul J. 2010. *The Road From Authoritarianism to Democratization in Indonesia*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Childs, Sarah. 2010. *Women and British Party Politics*. Routledge.
- Ciddi, Sinan. 2010. *Kemalism in Turkish Politics: The Republican People's Party, Secularism, and Nationalism*. Routledge.
- Cook, Christopher. 2010. *A Short History of the Liberal Party: The Road Back to Power*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Craig, Douglas. 2010. *After Wilson: The Struggle for the Democratic Party, 1920-1934*. Chapel Hill: The University of North Carolina Press.
- Delpar, Helen. 2010. *Red Against Blue: The Liberal Party in Colombian Politics, 1863-1899*. University of Alabama Press.
- Dunn, Susan. 2010. *Roosevelt's Purge: How FDR Fought to Change the Democratic Party*. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Dueck, Colin. 2010. *Hard Line: The Republican Party and U.S. Foreign Policy Since World War II*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Enyedi, Zsolt and Kevin Deegan-Krause. 2010. *The Structure of Political Competition in Western Europe*. Routledge.
- Ewing, Keith D. 2010. *The Funding of Political Parties in Britain*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Ezrow, Lawrence. 2010. *Linking Citizens and Parties: How Electoral Systems Matter for Political Representation*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Frymer, Paul. 2010. *Uneasy Alliances: Race and Party Competition in America*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Gauja, Anika. 2010. *Political Parties and Elections: Legislating for Representative Democracy*. Ashgate.
- Goodwin, Matthew. 2010. *New British Fascism: The Rise of the British National Party (BNP)*. Routledge.
- Gore, Lance. 2010. *The Chinese Communist Party and China's Capitalist Revolution: The Political Impact of Market*. Routledge.
- Green, Don J. 2010. *Third-Party Matters: Politics, Presidents, and Third Parties in American History*. Santa Barbara: Praeger.
- Green, John C. and Daniel J. Coffey (Eds.). 2010. *The State of the Parties: The Changing Role of Contemporary American Parties*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc.
- Groeling, Tim. 2010. *When Politicians Attack: Party Cohesion in the Media*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Hamilton, J.G. de Roulhac and Henry McGilbert Wagstaff (Eds.). 2010. *Party Politics in North Carolina, 1835-1860*. Dodo Press.
- Hamann, Kerstin and John Kelly. 2010. *Parties, Elections, and Policy Reforms in Western Europe: Voting for Social Pacts*. Routledge.
- Hanson, Stephen E. 2010. *Post-Imperial Democracies: Ideology and Party Formation in Third Republic France, Weimar Germany, and Post-Soviet Russia*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Hayward, Katy and Mary C. Murphy. 2010. *The Europeanization of Party Politics in Ireland, North and South*. Routledge.
- Hazan, Reuven Y. and Gideon Rahat. 2010. *Democracy Within Parties: Candidate Selection Methods and Their Political Consequences*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Heppell, Timothy. 2010. *Choosing the Labour Leader: Labour Party Leadership Elections from Wilson to Brown*. Tauris Academic Studies.
- Hershey, Majorie R. 2010. *Party Politics in America*. Longman.
- Hrebenaar, Ronald J. and Akira Nakamura. 2010. *Parties and Politics in Contemporary Japan: The Post-koizumi Era*. Lynne Rienner Pub.
- Jacobson, Gary C. 2010. *A Divider, Not a Uniter*. Longman.
- Jeffrey, Brooke. 2010. *Divided Loyalties: The Liberal Party of Canada, 1984-2008*. University of Toronto Press.
- Kabashima, Ikuo and Gill Steel. 2010. *Changing Politics in Japan*. Cornell University Press.
- Karol, David. 2010. *Party Position Change in American Politics*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Karvonen, Lauri. 2010. *The Personalization of Politics: A Study of Parliamentary Democracies*. ECPR Press.
- Klinghard, Daniel. 2010. *The Nationalization of American Political Parties, 1880-1896*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Kitschelt, Herbert, Kirk A. Hawkins, Juan Pablo Luna, Guillermo Rosas and Elizabeth J. Zechmeister. 2010. *Latin American Party Systems*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Koss, Michael. 2010. *The Politics of Party Funding: State Funding to Political Parties and Party Competition in Western Europe*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Krauss, Ellis S. and Robert J. Pekkanen. 2010. *The Rise and Fall of Japan's LDP: Political Party Organizations as Historical Institutions*. Cornell University Press.
- Langenbacher, Eric. 2010. *Between Left and Right: The 2009 Bundestag Elections and the Transformation of Germany Party System*. Berghahn Books.
- Lawson, Kay and Jorge Lanzaro (Eds.). 2010. *Political Parties and Democracy: Volume I: The Americas*. Santa Barbara: Praeger.
- Lawson, Kay (Ed.). 2010. *Political Parties and Democracy: Volume II: Europe*. Santa Barbara: Praeger.
- Lawson, Kay, Baogang HE, and Anatoly Kulik (Eds.). 2010. *Political Parties and Democracy: Volume III: Post-Soviet and Asian Political Parties*. Santa Barbara: Praeger.
- Lawson, Kay, Luc Sindjoun, and Marian J. Simms (Eds.). 2010. *Political Parties and Democracy: Volume IV: Africa and Oceania*. Santa Barbara: Praeger.
- Lawson, Kay and Saad E. Ibrahim (Eds.). 2010. *Political Parties and Democracy: Volume V: The Arab World*. Santa Barbara: Praeger.
- Lye, Liang Fook and Wilhelm Hofmeister (Eds.). 2010. *Political Parties, Party Systems and Democratization in East Asia*. World Scientific Publishing Company.
- Mack, Charles S. 2010. *When Political Parties Die: A Cross-National Analysis of Disalignment and Realignment*. Santa Barbara: Praeger.
- Magleby, David. 2010. *The Change Election: Money, Mobilization, and Persuasion in the 2008 Federal Elections*. Temple University Press.
- Maiyo, Josh. 2010. *Political Parties and Democratic Consolidation in East Africa: Perspectives on Internal Party Democracy*. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing.
- Meguid, Bonnie M. 2010. *Party Competition Between Unequals: Strategies and Electoral Fortunes in Western Europe*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Murray, Rainbow. 2010. *Parties, Gender Quotas and Candidate Selection in France*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Nakano, Koichi. 2010. *Party Politics and Decentralization in Japan and France: When the Opposition Governs*. Routledge.
- Norrander, Barbara. 2010. *The Imperfect Primary: Oddities, Biases, and Strengths of U.S. Presidential Nomination Politics*. New York: Routledge.
- O'Brian, Kevin J. and Suisheng Zhao. 2010. *Grassroots Elections in China*. New York: Routledge.
- Rosenblum, Nancy L. 2010. *On the Side of Angels: An Appreciation of Parties and Partisanship*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Rowbottom, Jacob. 2010. *Democracy Distorted: Wealth, Influence and Democratic Politics*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Samuels, David J. and Matthew S. Shugart. 2010. *Presidents, Parties, and Prime Ministers: How the Separation of Powers Affects Party Organization and Behavior*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Sandby-Thomas, Peter. 2010. *Legitimizing the Chinese Communist Party Since Tiananmen: A Critical Analysis of the Stability Course*. Routledge.
- Stanley, Timothy. 2010. *Kennedy v. Carter: The 1980 Battle for the Democratic Party's Soul*. University Press of Kansas.
- Stonecash, Jeffrey M. (Ed.). 2010. *New Directions in American Political Parties*. Routledge.
- Strom, Kaare and Torbjorn Bergman (Eds.). 2010. *The Madisonian Turn: Political Parties and Parliamentary Democracy in Nordic Europe*. University of Michigan Press.

- Ström, Kaare, Wolfgang C. Müller, and Torbjörn Bergman (Eds.). 2010. *Cabinets and Coalition Bargaining: The Democratic Life Cycle in Western Europe*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Tomsa, Dirk. 2010. *Party Politics and Democratization in Indonesia: Golkar in the Post-Suharto Era*. Routledge.
- Trautman, Karl G. 2010. *The Underdog in American Politics: The Democratic Party and Liberal Values*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Unger, Aryeh L. 2010. *The Totalitarian Party: Party and People in Nazi Germany and Soviet Russia*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Young, McGee. 2010. *Developing Interests: Organizational Change and the Politics of Advocacy*. Lawrence, KS: University Press of Kansas.
- Winebrenner, Hugh and Dennis J. Goldford. 2010. *The Iowa Precinct Caucuses: The Making of a Media Event, Third Ed.* University of Iowa Press.
- Wyatt, Andrew. 2010. *Party System Change in South India: Political Entrepreneurs, Patterns and Processes*. Routledge.

### JOURNAL SCAN

- Abramson, Paul R. John H. Aldrich, Andre Blais, Matthew Diamond, Abraham Diskin, Indridi H. Indridason, Daniel J. Lee and Renan Levine. 2010. 'Comparing Strategic Voting under FPTP and PR.' *Comparative Political Studies*, 43(1), 61-90.
- Ahlquist, John S. 2010. 'Policy by Contract: Electoral Cycles, Parties and Social Pacts, 1974-2000.' *Journal of Politics*, 72(2), 572-587.
- Ahmed, Nizam. 2010. 'Party Politics under a Non-party Caretaker Government in Bangladesh: The Fakhruddin Interregnum (2007-09).' *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*, 48(1), 23-47.
- Akirav, Osnat, Gary W. Cox and Mathew D. McCubbins. 2010. 'Agenda Control in the Israeli Knesset during Ariel Sharon's Second Government.' *Journal of Legislative Studies*, 16(2), 251-267.
- Allen, Nicholas and Katja Mirwaldt. 2010. 'Democracy-speak: Party Manifestos and Democratic Values in Britain, France and Germany.' *West European Politics*, 33(4), 870-893.
- Allison, Michael. 2010. 'The Legacy of Violence on Post-civil War Elections: The Case of El Salvador.' *Studies in Comparative International Development*, 45(1), 104-124.
- Arce, Moises. 2010. 'Parties and Social Protest in Latin America's Neoliberal Era.' *Party Politics*, 16(5), 669-686.
- Arter, David. 2010. 'The Breakthrough of Another West European Populist Radical Right Party? The Case of the True Finns.' *Government & Opposition*, 45(4), 484-504.
- Bader, Max. 2010. 'Party Politics in Georgia and Ukraine and the Failure of Western Assistance.' *Democratization*, 17(6), 1085-1107.
- Bafumi, Joseph, Robert S. Erikson and Christopher Wlezien. 2010. 'Balancing, Generic Polls and Midterm Congressional Elections.' *Journal of Politics*, 71(3), 705-719.
- Balmas, Meital and Tamir Sheafer. 2010. 'Candidate Image in Election Campaigns: Attribute Agenda Setting, Affective Priming, and Voting Intentions.' *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 22(2), 204-229.
- Bashevkin, Sylvia. 2010. 'When Do Outsiders Break In? Institutional Circumstances of Party Leadership Victories by Women in Canada.' *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*, 48(1), 72-90.
- Belanger, Eric and Francois Gelineau. 2010. 'Does Perceived Competence Matter? Political Parties and Economic Voting in Canadian Federal Elections.' *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*, 20(1), 83-101.
- Belanger, Eric, Richard Nadeau and Michael S. Lewis-Beck. 2010. 'Forecasting the Vote for a Third Party: The British Liberals, 1974-2005.' *British Journal of Politics & International Relations*, 12(4), 634-643.
- Belchior, Ana Maria. 2010. 'Are Green Political Parties More Post-materialist than Other Parties?' *European Societies*, 12(4), 467-492.
- Belchior, Ana Maria. 2010. 'Ideological Congruence Among European Political Parties.' *Journal of Legislative Studies*, 16(1), 121-142.
- Best, Robin E. 2010. 'Increasing Irrationality? The Equilibrium Relationship Between Electoral and Legislative Party System Size, 1950-2005.' *Electoral Studies*, 29(1), 105-116.
- Birnir, Johanna Kristin. 2010. 'Where are the Disgruntled Voters?' *Party Politics*, 16(1), 29-49.
- Bloodgood, Elizabeth A. 2010. 'The Interest Group Analogy: International Non-governmental Advocacy Organizations in International Politics.' *Review of International Studies*, 37(1), 93-120.

- Bochsler, Daniel. 2010. 'Measuring Party Nationalization: A New Gini-based Indicator that Corrects for the Number of Units.' *Electoral Studies*, 29(1), 155-168.
- Boehmke, Frederick J. and Daniel C. Bowen. 2010. 'Direct Democracy and Individual Interest Group Membership.' *Journal of Politics*, 72(3), 659-671.
- Bogaards, Matthijs. 2010. 'Ethnic Party Bans and Institutional Engineering in Nigeria.' *Democratization*, 17(4), 730-749.
- Bogaards, Matthijs, Matthias Basedau and Christof Hartmann. 2010. 'Ethnic Party Bans in Africa: An Introduction.' *Democratization*, 17(4), 599-617.
- Boix, Carles. 2010. 'Electoral Markets, Party Strategies, and Proportional Representation.' *American Political Science Review*, 104(2), 404-412.
- Bolleyer, Nicole and Lise Storm. 2010. 'Problems of Party Assistance in Hybrid Regimes: The Case of Morocco.' *Democratization*, 17(6), 1202-1224.
- Boone, Catherine and Norma Kriger. 2010. 'Multiparty Elections and Land Patronage: Zimbabwe and Cote d'Ivoire.' *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*, 48(2), 173-202.
- Booth, Eric and Joseph Robbins. 2010. 'Assessing the Impact of Campaign Finance on Party System Institutionalization.' *Party Politics*, 16(5), 629-650.
- Borisyuk, Galina, Ron Johnston, Michael Trasher and Colin Rallings. 2010. 'A Method for Measuring and Decomposing Electoral Bias for the Three-party Case, Illustrated by the British Case.' *Electoral Studies*, 29(4), 733-745.
- Boston, Jonathan. 2010. 'Political Equality and the Regulation of Election Spending by Parallel Campaigners.' *Australian Journal of Political Science*, 45(4), 623-642.
- Bowman, Ann and Neal D. Woods. 2010. 'Expanding the Scope of Conflict: Interest Groups and Interstate Compacts.' *Social Science Quarterly (Blackwell Publishing Ltd.)*, 91(3), 669-688.
- Bratberg, Oivind. 2010. 'Institutional Resilience Meets Critical Junctures: (Re)allocation of Power in Three British Parties Post-devolution.' *Publius: The Journal of Federalism*, 40(1), 59-81.
- Breuer, Anita. 2010. 'Obstacles to Citizen Participation by Direct Democracy in Latin America: A Comparative Regional Analysis of Legal Frameworks and Evidence from Costa Rican Case.' *Democratization*, 18(1), 100-134.
- Budge, Ian, Lawrence Ezrow and Michael D. McDonald. 2010. 'Ideology, Party Factionalism and Policy Change: An Integrated Dynamic Theory.' *British Journal of Political Science*, 40(4), 781-804.
- Burnell, Peter and Andre Gerrits. 2010. 'Promoting Party Politics in Emerging Democracies.' *Democratization*, 17(6), 1065-1084.
- Calfano, Brian Robert. 2010. 'The Power of Brand: Beyond Interest Group Influence in the U.S. State Abortion Politics.' *State Politics & Policy Quarterly*, 10(3), 227-247.
- Campbell, James E. 2010. 'Explaining Politics, Not Polls.' *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 74(4), 616-642.
- Campbell, James E., Bryan J. Dettrey and Hongxing Yin. 2010. 'The Theory of Conditional Retrospective Voting: Does the Presidential Record Matter Less in Open-seat Elections?' *Journal of Politics*, 72(4), 1083-1095.
- Carmines, Edward G., Jessica C. Gerrity and Michael W. Wagner. 2010. 'How Abortion Became a Partisan Issue: Media Coverage of the Interest Group - Political Party Connection.' *Politics & Policy*, 38(6), 1135-1158.
- Carroll, Royce and Henry A. Kim. 2010. 'Party Government and the "Cohesive Power of Public Plunder."' *American Journal of Political Science*, 54(1), 34-44.
- Carter, Elisabeth and Thomas Poguntke. 2010. 'How European Integration Changes National Parties: Evidence From a 15-country Study.' *West European Politics*, 33(2), 297-324.
- Chamberlain, Adam. 2010. 'An Inside-outsider or an Outside-insider? The Republican Primary Campaign of Ron Paul From a Third-party Perspective.' *Politics & Policy*, 38(1), 97-116.
- Choma, Becky L., Michael C. Ashton and Carolyn L. Hafer. 2010. 'Conceptualizing Political Orientation in Canadian Political Candidates: A Tale of Two (Correlated) Dimensions.' *Canadian Journal of Behavioral Science*, 42(1), 24-33.
- Christiansen, Peter Munk, Asbjorn Sonne Norgaard, Hilmar Rommetvedt, Torsten Svensson, Gunnar Thesen and Perola Oberg. 2010. 'Varieties of Democracy: Interest Groups and Coratist Committees in Scandinavian Policy Making.' *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary & Nonprofit Organizations*, 21(1), 22-40.
- Coates, Dennis, Jac C. Heckelman and Bonnie Wilson. 2010. 'The Political Economy of Investment: Sclerotic Effects from Interest Groups.' *European Journal of Political Economy*, 26(2), 208-221.

- Cochrane, Christopher. 2010. 'Left/right Ideology and Canadian Politics.' *Canadian Journal of Political Science*, 43(3), 583-605.
- Cockcroft, Laurence. 2010. 'Global Corruption: An Untamed Hydra.' *World Policy Journal*, 27(1), 21-28.
- Coffe, Hilde and Marieke Voorpostel. 2010. 'Young People, Parents and Radical Right Voting. The Case of the Swiss People's Party.' *Electoral Studies*, 29(3), 435-443.
- Collings, Paul M. and Wendy L. Martinek. 2010. 'Friends of the Circuits: Interest Group Influence on Decision Making in the U.S. Courts of Appeals.' *Social Science Quarterly (Blackwell Publishing Ltd.)*, 91(2), 397-414.
- Combs, David J. and Peggy S. Keller. 2010. 'Politicians and Trustworthiness: Acting Contrary to Self-interest Enhances Trustworthiness.' *Basic & Applied Social Psychology*, 32(4), 328-339.
- Conger, Kimberly H. 2010. 'Party Platforms and Party Coalitions.' *Party Politics*, 16(5), 651-668.
- Conradt, David P. 2010. 'The Shrinking Elephants: The 2009 Election and the Changing Party System.' *German Politics & Society*, 28(3), 25-46.
- Conti, Nicolo and Vincenzo Memoli. 2010. 'Italian Parties and Europe: Problems of Identity, Representation and Scope of Governance in the Euromanifestos (1989-2004).' *Perspectives on European Politics & Society*, 11(2), 167-182.
- Coram, Alex. 2010. 'The Red Queen and the Dynamics of Resource Spending in Party Competition.' *British Journal of Political Science*, 40(2), 469-475.
- Curini, Luigi. 2010. 'Experts' Political Preferences and Their Impact on Ideological Bias.' *Party Politics*, 16(3), 299-321.
- Curini, Luigi and Paolo Martelli. 2010. 'Ideological Proximity and Valence Competition: Negative Campaigning Through Allegation of Corruption in the Italian Legislative Arena From 1946 to 1994.' *Electoral Studies*, 29(4), 636-647.
- Cusack, Thomas, Torben Iversen, and David Soskice. 2010. 'Co-evolution of Capitalism and Political Representation: The Choice of Electoral Systems.' *American Political Science Review*, 104(2), 393-403.
- Damore, David, Thomas Hansford and A. Barghothi. 2010. 'Explaining the Decision to Withdraw From a U.S. Presidential Nomination Campaign.' *Political Behavior*, 32(2), 157-180.
- Decker, Frank and Jared Sonnicksen. 2010. 'Coalitions and Camps in the German Party System After the 2009 Bundestag Election.' *German Politics & Society*, 28(3), 103-118.
- Deegan-Krause, Kevin and Zsolt Enyedi. 2010. 'Agency and the Structure of Party Competition: Alignment, Stability and the Role of Political Elites.' *West European Politics*, 33(3), 686-710.
- De Vries, Catherine E. 2010. 'EU Issue Voting: Asset or Liability?: How European Integration Affects Parties' Electoral Fortunes.' *European Union Politics*, 11(1), 89-117.
- De Zeeuw, Jeroen. 2010. "'Sons of War": Parties and Party Systems in Post-war El Salvador and Cambodia.' *Democratization*, 17(6), 1176-1201.
- Dickson, Eric S. and Kenneth Scheve. 2010. 'Social Identity, Electoral Institutions and the Number of Candidates.' *British Journal of Political Science*, 40(2), 439-375.
- Dikwakar, Rekha. 2010. 'Party Aggregation in India.' *Party Politics*, 16(4), 477-496.
- Dinas, Elias and Kostas Gemenis. 2010. 'Measuring Parties' Ideological Positions with Manifesto Data.' *Party Politics*, 16(4), 427-450.
- Doherty, Brendan J. 2010. 'Polls and Elections: Hail to the Fundraiser in Chief: The Evolution of Presidential Fundraising Travel, 1977-2004.' *Presidential Studies Quarterly*, 40(1), 159-170.
- Dusso, Aaron. 2010. 'Legislation, Political Context, and Interest Group Behavior.' *Political Research Quarterly*, 63(1), 55-67.
- Economou, Nick. 2010. 'Parties, Participation and Outcomes: The 2008 Victorian Local Government Elections.' *Australian Journal of Political Science*, 45(3), 425-436.
- Engstrom, Erik J. and William Ewell. 2010. 'The Impact of Unified Party Government on Campaign Contributions.' *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, 35(4), 543-569.
- Engstrom, Erik J. and Georg Vanberg. 2010. 'Assessing the Allocation of Pork: Evidence from Congressional Earmarks.' *American Politics Research*, 38(6), 959-985.
- Erdmann, Gero. 2010. 'Political Party Assistance and Political Party Research: Towards a Closer Encounter?' *Democratization*, 17(6), 1275-1296.
- Erikson, Robert S., Costas Panagopoulos and Christopher Wlezien. 2010. 'The Crystallization of Voter Preferences During the 2008 Presidential Campaign.' *Presidential Studies Quarterly*, 40(3), 482-496.
- Esselment, Anna Lennox. 2010. 'Fighting Elections: Cross-level Political Party Integration in Ontario.' *Canadian Journal of Political Science*, 43(4), 871-892.
- Evans, Matt. 2010. 'Electoral Reform and Political Pluralism in Local Government.' *Party Politics*, 16(3), 394-413.
- Fox, Richard L. and Jennifer L. Lawless. 2010. 'If Only They'd Ask: Gender, Recruitment, and Political Ambition.' *Journal of Politics*, 72(2), 310-326.
- Gallego, Aina. 2010. 'Understanding Unequal Turnout: Education and Voting in Comparative Perspective.' *Electoral Studies*, 29(2), 239-248.
- Gemenis, Kostas. 2010. 'Winning Votes and Weathering Storms: The 2009 European and Parliamentary Elections in Greece.' *Representation*, 46(3), 353-362.
- Gibson, Edward L. and Julieta Suarez-Cao. 2010. 'Federalized Party Systems and Subnational Party Competition: Theory and an Empirical Application to Argentina.' *Comparative Politics*, 43(1), 21-39.
- Golosov, Grigori V. 2010. 'The Effective Number of Parties.' *Party Politics*, 16(2), 171-192.
- Gorlizki, Yoram. 2010. 'Too Much Trust: Regional Party Leaders and Local Political Networks under Brezhnev.' *Slavic Review: Interdisciplinary Quarterly of Russian, Eurasian & East European Studies*, 69(3), 676-700.
- Grant, J. Tobin, Stephen T. Mockabee and J. Quin Monson. 2010. 'Campaign Effects on the Accessibility of Party Identification.' *Political Research Quarterly*, 63(4), 811-821.
- Greene, Kenneth F. 2010. 'The Political Economy of Authoritarian Single-party Dominance.' *Comparative Political Studies*, 43(7), 807-834.
- Green-Pedersen, Christoffer. 2010. 'Bringing Parties into Parliament.' *Party Politics*, 16(3), 347-369.
- Green-Pedersen, Christoffer and Rune Stubager. 2010. 'The Political Conditionality of Mass Media Influence: When Do Parties Follow Mass Media Attention?' *British Journal of Political Science*, 40(3), 663-677.
- Grose, Christian R. and Keesha M. Middlemass. 2010. 'Listen to What I Say, Not How I Vote: Congressional Support for the President in Washington and at Home.' *Social Science Quarterly (Blackwell Publishing Ltd)*, 91(1), 143-167.
- Habers, Imke. 2010. 'Decentralization and the Development of Nationalized Party Systems in New Democracies: Evidence from Latin America.' *Comparative Political Studies*, 43(5), 606-627.
- Hale, Kathleen and Ramona McNeal. 2010. 'Election Administration Reform and State Choice: Voter Identification Requirements and HAVA.' *Policy Studies Journal*, 38(2), 281-302.
- Hartmann, Christof. 2010. 'Senegal's Party System: The Limits of Formal Regulation.' *Democratization*, 17(4), 769-786.
- Hartmann, Christof and Jorg Kemmerzell. 2010. 'Understanding Variations in Party Bans in Africa.' *Democratization*, 17(4), 17(4).
- Hatcher, Andrea C. 2010. 'A Man for All Seasons.' *Party Politics*, 16(3), 323-346.
- Haupt, Andrea B. 2010. 'Parties' Responses to Economic Globalization.' *Party Politics*, 16(1), 5-27.
- HeeMin Kim, Jr. Powell, Fording Bingham and Richard C. Fording. 2010. 'Electoral Systems, Party Systems, and Ideological Representation: An Analysis of Distortion in Western Democracies.' *Comparative Politics*, 42(2), 167-185.
- Helbling, Marc, Dominic Hoeglinger and Bruno Wuest. 2010. 'How Political Parties Frame European Integration.' *European Journal of Political Research*, 49(4), 495-521.
- Heppell, Timothy. 2010. 'Labour Leadership Elections from Wilson to Brown: Ideological Factions and Succession Planning Strategies.' *Representation*, 46(1), 69-79.
- Highton, Benjamin. 2010. 'The Contextual Causes of Issue and Party Voting in American Presidential Elections.' *Political Behavior*, 32(4), 453-471.
- Hube, Nicolas and Muriel Rambour. 2010. 'French Political Parties in Campaign (1989-2004): A Configurational Analysis of Political Discourses on Europe.' *Perspectives on European Politics & Society*, 11(2), 146-166.
- Hurst, Allison L. 2010. 'Languages of Class in U.S. Party Platforms, 1880-1936.' *Journal of Historical Sociology*, 23(4), 542-569.
- Jacobson, Gary C. 2010. 'Perception, Memory, and Partisan Polarization on the Iraq War.' *Political Science Quarterly*, 125(1), 31-56.
- Jones, David R. 2010. 'Partisan Polarization and Congressional Accountability in House Elections.' *American Journal of Political Science*, 54(2), 323-337.
- Kalyvas, Stathis N. and Kees van Kersbergen. 2010. 'Christian Democracy.' *Annual Review of Political Science*, 13(1), 183-209.

- Karlsen, Rune. 2010. 'Fear of the Political Consultant.' *Party Politics*, 16(2), 193-214.
- Kemmerzell, Jorg. 2010. 'Why There is No Party Ban in the South African Constitution.' *Democratization*, 17(4), 687-708.
- Kluver, Heike. 2010. 'Europeanization of Lobbying Activities: When National Interest Groups Spill Over to the European Level.' *Journal of European Integration*, 32(2), 175-191.
- Knill, Christoph, Marc Debus and Stephan Heichel. 2010. 'Do Parties Matter in Internationalized Policy Areas? The Impact of Political Parties on Environmental Policy Outputs in 18 OECD Countries, 1970-2000.' *European Journal of Political Research*, 49(3), 301-336.
- Koger, Gregory, Seth Masket and Hans Noel. 2010. 'Cooperative Party Factions in American Politics.' *American Politics Research*, 38(1), 33-53.
- Kohler, Ulrich and Richard Rose. 2010. 'Under What Circumstances Could Maximizing Turnout Alter an Election Result?' *Representation*, 46(2), 119-138.
- Krauss, Ellis S. and Robert J. Pekkanen. 2010. 'The Rise and Fall of Japan's Liberal Democratic Party.' *Journal of Asian Studies*, 69(1), 5-15.
- Layman, Geoffrey C., Thomas M. Carsey, John C. Green, Richard Herrera and Rosalyn Cooperman. 2010. 'Activists and Conflict Extension in American Party Politics.' *American Political Science Review*, 104(2), 324-346.
- Lees, Charles, Dan Hough and Dan Keith. 2010. 'Towards an Analytical Framework for Party Mergers: Operationalising the Cases of the German Left Party and the Dutch Green Left.' *West European Politics*, 33(6), 1299-1317.
- Leuenberger, Christine and Izhak Schnell. 2010. 'The Politics of Maps: Constructing National Territories in Israel.' *Social Studies of Science (Sage)*, 40(6), 803-842.
- Lowery, David, Simon Otjes, Sergiu Gherghina, Arjen van Witteloostejn, Gabor Peli and Holly Brasher. 2010. 'Unpacking LogM: Toward a More General Theory of Party System Density.' *American Journal of Political Science*, 54(4), 921-935.
- Maeda, Ko. 2010. 'Divided We Fall: Opposition Fragmentation and the Electoral Fortunes of Governing Parties.' *British Journal of Political Science*, 40(2), 419-434.
- Magaloni, Beatriz and Ruth Kricheli. 2010. 'Political Order and One-party Rule.' *Annual Review of Political Science*, 13(1), 123-143.
- Markowski, Radoslaw and Joshua A. Tucker. 2010. 'Euro-scepticism and the Emergence of Political Parties in Poland.' *Party Politics*, 16(4), 523-548.
- Marshall, David. 2010. 'Who to Lobby and When: Institutional Determinants of Interest Group Strategies in European Parliament Committees.' *European Union Politics*, 11(4), 553-575.
- McElroy, Gail and Kenneth Benoit. 2010. 'Party Policy and Group Affiliation in the European Parliament.' *British Journal of Political Science*, 40(2), 377-398.
- McNutt, John G. 2010. 'Researching Advocacy Groups: Internet Sources for Research About Public Interest Groups and Social Movement Organizations.' *Journal of Policy Practice*, 9(3/4), 308-312.
- Mikulska, Anna B. and Susan E. Scarrow. 2010. 'Assessing the Political Impact of Candidate Selection Rules: Britain in the 1990s.' *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*, 20(3), 311-333.
- Moroff, Anika. 2010. 'Party Bans in Africa – An Empirical Overview.' *Democratization*, 17(4), 618-641.
- Moroff, Anika and Matthias Basedau. 2010. 'An Effective Measure of Institutional Engineering? Ethnic Party Bans in Africa.' *Democratization*, 17(4), 666-686.
- Nagel, Jack H. and Christopher Wlezien. 2010. 'Centre-party Strength and Major-party Divergence in Britain, 1945-2005.' *British Journal of Political Science*, 40(2), 279-304.
- Neisen, Peter. 2010. 'Political Party Bans in Rwanda 1994-2003: Three Narratives of Justification.' *Democratization*, 17(4), 709-729.
- Niemi, Richard G. and Harold W. Stanley. 2010. 'The American Presidential Election of 2008.' *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*, 20(2), 147-151.
- Nownes, Anthony J. 2010. 'Density Dependent Dynamics in the Population of Transgender Interest Groups in the United States, 1964-2005.' *Social Science Quarterly (Blackwell Publishing Ltd.)*, 91(3), 689-703.
- Orriols, Lluís. 2010. 'Social Spending, Partisan Bias and Vote Choice: Evidence from the U.S.' *Electoral Studies*, 29(1), 54-65.
- Ozbudun, Ergun. 2010. 'Party Prohibition Cases: Different Approaches by the Turkish Constitutional Court and the European Court of Human Rights.' *Democratization*, 17(1), 125-142.
- Pachon, Monica and Matthew S. Shugart. 2010. 'Electoral Reform and the Mirror Image of Inter-party and Intra-party Competition: The Adoption of Party Lists in Colombia.' *Electoral Studies*, 29(4), 648-660.
- Papageorgiou, Achillefs. 2010. 'Finish Parties' Positions in 2008: A Matter of Equilibrium or Voters' Projection?' *Electoral Studies*, 29(4), 724-732.
- Park, Kyungmee. 2010. 'Party Mergers and Splits in New Democracies: The Case of South Korea (1987-2007).' *Government & Opposition*, 45(4), 531-552.
- Pederson, Helene Helboe. 2010. 'How Intra-party Power Relations Affect the Coalition Behaviour of Political Parties.' *Party Politics*, 16(6), 737-754.
- Pelizzo, Riccardo. 2010. 'Party Direction.' *Party Politics*, 16(1), 51-67.
- Piazza, James A. 2010. 'Terrorism and Party Systems in the States of India.' *Security Studies*, 19(1), 99-123.
- Pilosof, Rory. 2010. 'Exploring Interest Group Politics.' *Journal of Developing Societies (Sage Publications Inc.)*, 26(1), 71-97.
- Pop-Eleches, Grigore. 2010. 'Throwing Out the Bums.' *World Politics*, 62(2), 221-260.
- Primo, David M. and James M. Snyder Jr. 2010. 'Party Strength, the Personal Vote, and Government Spending.' *American Journal of Political Science*, 54(2), 354-370.
- Quinn, Thomas. 2010. 'Membership Ballots in Party Leadership Elections in Britain.' *Representation*, 46(1), 101-117.
- Rakner, Lisa and Lars Svasand. 2010. 'In Search of the Impact of International Support for Political Parties in New Democracies: Malawi and Zambia Compared.' *Democratization*, 17(6), 1250-1274.
- Rehm, Philipp and Timothy Reilly. 2010. 'United We Stand: Constituency Homogeneity and Comparative Party Polarization.' *Electoral Studies*, 29(1), 40-53.
- Ridout, Travis N. and Jenny L. Holland. 2010. 'Candidate Strategies in the Presidential Nomination Campaign.' *Presidential Studies Quarterly*, 40(4), 611-630.
- Robbins, Suzanne M. and Helmut Norpoth. 2010. 'Balance or Dominance?' *Political Research Quarterly*, 63(2), 316-327.
- Rodden, Jonathan. 2010. 'The Geographic Distribution of Political Preferences.' *Annual Review of Political Science*, 13(1), 321-340.
- Rose, Richard and William Mishler. 2010. 'A Supply-demand Model of Party-system Industrialization: The Russian Case.' *Party Politics*, 16(6), 801-821.
- Sandri, Giulia and Teun Pauwels. 2010. 'Party Membership Role and Party Cartelization in Belgium and Italy: Two Faces of the Same Medal?' *Politics & Policy*, 38(6), 1237-1266.
- Scarrow, Susan E. and Burcu Gezgor. 2010. 'Declining Memberships, Changing Members? European Political Party Members in a New Era.' *Party Politics*, 16(6), 823-843.
- Schicker, Eric, Kathryn Pearson and Brian D. Feinstein. 2010. 'Congressional Parties and Civil Rights Politics from 1933 to 1972.' *Journal of Politics*, 72(3), 672-689.
- Schmitter, Philippe C. 2010. 'Twenty-five Years, Fifteen Findings.' *Journal of Democracy*, 21(1), 17-28.
- Schraufnagel, Scot and Kerri Milita. 2010. 'The Partisan Duopoly in U.S. House Elections: An Analysis of Minor Party Failure.' *Representation*, 46(2), 241-253.
- Schwartz, Mildred A. 2010. 'Interactions Between Social Movements and U.S. Political Parties.' *Party Politics*, 16(5), 587-607.
- Shikano, Susumu and Eric Linhart. 2010. 'Coalition-formation as a Result of Policy and Office Motivations in the German Federal States.' *Party Politics*, 16(1), 111-130.
- Sircar, Indraneel and Bjorn Hoyland. 2010. 'Get the Party Started.' *Party Politics*, 16(1), 89-100.
- Slothuus, Rune and Claes H. de Vreese. 2010. 'Political Parties, Motivated Reasoning, and Issue Framing Effects.' *Journal of Politics*, 72(3), 630-645.
- Slothuus, Rune. 2010. 'When Can Political Parties Lead Public Opinion? Evidence from a Natural Experiment.' *Political Communication*, 27(2), 158-177.
- Smith, Jason Matthew. 2010. 'Does Crime Pay? Issue Ownership, Political Opportunity, and the Populist Right in Western Europe.' *Comparative Political Studies*, 43(11), 1471-1498.

## SCHOLARLY PRECINCTS Continued from page 7

- Spanje, Van. 2010. 'Contagious Parties.' *Party Politics*, 16(5), 563-586.
- Stockton, Hans. 2010. 'How Rules Matter: Electoral Reform in Taiwan.' *Social Science Quarterly (Blackwell Publishing Ltd.)*, 91(1), 21-41.
- Strom, Kaare, Wolfgang C. Muller and Daniel Markham Smith. 2010. 'Parliamentary Control of Coalition Governments.' *Annual Review of Political Science*, 13(1), 517-535.
- Taagepera, Rein and Allan Sikk. 2010. 'Parsimonious Model for Predicting Mean Cabinet Duration on Basis of Electoral System.' *Party Politics*, 16(2), 261-281.
- Tavits, Margit. 2010. 'Effect of Local Ties on Electoral Success and Parliamentary Behaviour.' *Party Politics*, 16(2), 215-235.
- Teorell, Jan and Catharina Lindstedt. 2010. 'Measuring Electoral Systems.' *Political Research Quarterly*, 63(2), 434-448.
- Tezcur, Gunes Murat. 2010. 'The Moderation Theory Revisited.' *Party Politics*, 16(1), 69-88.
- Thomas, Lori M. 2010. 'The Variations and Strategies of Faith-based Advocacy Organizations in Virginia.' *Journal of Policy Practice*, 9(3/4), 240-262.
- Tolbert, Caroline J., Amanda Keller and Todd Donovan. 2010. 'A Modified National Primary: State Losers and Support for Changing the Presidential Nominating Process.' *Political Science Quarterly*, 125(3), 393-424.
- Van Wessel, Margit. 2010. 'Citizens and Their Understandings and Evaluations of Representation: Introducing an Interpretive Approach to the Study of Citizen Attitudes Towards Politics.' *Representation*, 46(4), 439-457.
- Vazquez Garcia, Rafael, Santiago Delgado Fernandez and Miguel Jerez Mir. 2010. 'Spanish Political Parties and the European Union: Analysis of Euromanifestos (1987-2004).' *Perspectives on European Politics & Society*, 11(2), 201-221.
- Wauters, Bram. 2010. 'Explaining Participation in Intra-party Elections.' *Party Politics*, 16(2), 237-259.
- Weidenbaum, Murray. 2010. 'Measuring the Influence of Think Tanks.' *Society*, 47(2), 134-137.
- Wegenast, Tim. 2010. 'Uninformed Voters for Sale: Electoral Competition, Information and Interest Groups in the U.S.' *Kyklos*, 63(2), 271-300.

- Weinschenk, Aaron. 2010. 'Revisiting the Political Theory of Party Identification.' *Political Behavior*, 32(4), 473-494.
- Wichowsky, Amber and Sarah E. Niebler. 2010. 'Narrow Victories and Hard Games: Revisiting the Primary Divisiveness Hypothesis.' *American Politics Research*, 38(6), 1052-1071.
- Wonka, Arndt, Frank R. Baumgartner, Christine Mahoney and Joost Berkhout. 2010. 'Measuring the Size and Scope of the EU Interest Group Population.' *European Union Politics*, 11(3), 463-476.
- Zahariadis, Nikolaos. 2010. 'State Aid and Partisan Government in the European Union.' *Social Science Quarterly (Blackwell Publishing Ltd.)*, 91(2), 436-454.

### FROM THE FIELD

**Papers of Interest  
2010 American Political Science Association  
Annual Meeting  
September 2-5, 2010**

- 'A Typology of Interest Group Competition and Legislative Success in the U.S. House of Representatives.' Holly Brasher, University of Alabama, Birmingham.
- 'Interest Groups, Political Institutions, and Strategic Choices: What Influences Institutional Lobbying Strategies?' Bryan McQuide, University of Idaho.
- 'Advocates and Interest Representation in Policy Debates.' Marie Hojnacki, Penn State University; Kathleen Marchetti, Penn State University; Frank R. Baumgartner, University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill; Jeffrey M. Berry, Tufts University; David C. Kimball, University of Missouri - St. Louis; and Beth L. Leech, Rutgers University.
- 'Economics, Politics, and the Macromobilization of Interest Groups in the U.S.' Holly Brasher, University of Alabama, Birmingham.



Ray C. Bliss Institute of Applied Politics  
Akron, OH 44325-1914

FIRST CLASS MAIL  
U.S. POSTAGE PAID  
THE UNIVERSITY OF  
AKRON